No. 1D/FD/271/82/5282—Whereas the Covernor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prem Lal, and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prom Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/271/82/5289.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nar Pal and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd. 59, Industrial Township, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nar Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 10th February, 1983

No. ID/AMS/107/82/5519.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagir Singh and the management of the M/s The Executive Engineer H. S. E. B., O. P. Division, Pinjore, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Indusrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3, Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 16th February, 1983

No. ID/FD/15-83,5988.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dharam Singh and the management of M/s Micro Precision Products, 4 Link Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudcation;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Singh was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?.